

**STAFF REPORT  
MILLER – SMITH BOATLIFT**

TO: Douglas County Hearing Examiner  
FROM: Douglas County Land Services Staff  
RE: Miller-Smith, SP-14-07  
DATE: September 30, 2014

**I. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requested Action: An application for a shoreline substantial development permit for two (2) ground-based boatlifts located at an existing, permitted joint-use dock.

Location: The boatlifts will serve 276 & 280 Vineyard Drive, Orondo. The properties are described as being Lot 1-2 & 3 of the Riesling Plat Alteration. The properties are further described as located in the Northwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 26N, Range 22 EWM, Douglas County, Washington. The Douglas County Assessor's numbers are 82600000100, 82600000300, and 79100000600.

**II. SITE INFORMATION**

Site Characteristics: The properties were converted from orchard property to residential and recreational property. Vegetation at the subject property properties has been significantly altered as part of the historic use of the properties, as well as part of joint-use dock and shoreline restoration permitting. Native vegetation has been installed on each of the properties as mitigation for previously approved projects.

The majority of the default riparian buffer, 150 ft. from the OHWM, is dominated on one half by mowed and maintained lawn grass, and on the other half by a combination of native riparian and shrub-steppe vegetation. Landward of the default riparian buffer, the properties consist of a single family residence, detached garage, pool, landscaped areas, and other appurtenances. Waterward of the default riparian buffer, the subject properties contain areas of lawn grass and native vegetation that is both naturally occurring and that has been installed as mitigation for development on the subject properties. Immediately landward of the OHWM, the slope of the shoreline is very steep and shows signs of erosion. The steep area is approximately 5-7 ft. wide and there is a sparse cover of native riparian vegetation. Vegetation is dominated by coyote willow, red-osier dogwood, reed canarygrass, mountain alder, and other upland grasses and weedy species. At the downriver edge of the properties there is a small patch of cattails. The top of the bank is located approximately 6-8 vertical feet above the OHWM. Above the top of the bank, the shoreline is gradually sloped and vegetated with a combination of native vegetation and lawn grass.

The mitigation planting areas were installed for compensatory mitigation for the existing dock and for the installation of lawn grass within the riparian buffer. The mitigation planting areas have resulted in the installation of native trees and shrubs that are providing a moderate level of riparian function and value.

The proposed boatlifts will be installed waterward of the OHWM, adjacent to the existing joint-use dock and at a water depth of 7.5 ft. Substrates adjacent to the dock consist of

fine material, sand and silt. Aquatic vegetation, dominated by milfoil, covers approximately 100 percent of the area.

Zoning and Development Standards: The subject property is located within the Rural Resource 5 acres (RR-5) Zoning District. The purpose of the RR-5 rural resource district is to provide an area for a variety of rural lifestyles, hobby farms, densities, and open space, while protecting the rural resource characteristics in the vicinity. The district provides an opportunity for compatible rural land uses, and is sensitive to the site's physical characteristics. Areas may be located adjacent to urban growth area or existing development of higher densities and, where appropriate, may serve as transition and buffer area between commercial agricultural areas and other land uses. This district is also appropriate for areas that are currently have a range of rural densities or land parcel sizes generally less than twenty acres in size and/or having adequate rural levels of services available such as roads, schools, and fire protection, that the density does not encourage urban levels of service and provides significant open space corridors and protection of critical areas.

### **III. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:**

The Douglas County Countywide Comprehensive Plan designates this property as Rural Resource 5 acres. The following goals and policies set forth in the comprehensive plan are relevant to this development:

#### RURAL:

POLICY RD-4: Development and recreational opportunities in rural shoreline and other rural areas shall minimize potential adverse impacts to water quality, slope stability, vegetation, wildlife and aquatic life.

#### CRITICAL AREAS – FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS

GOAL 2: Protect fish and wildlife habitat areas as an important natural resource for Douglas County, particularly in regard to their economic, aesthetic and quality of life values.

POLICY CA-14: Impacts of new development on the quality of land, wildlife and vegetative resources will be considered as part of the environmental review process and require any appropriate mitigating measures. Such mitigation may involve the retention and/or enhancement of habitats.

POLICY CA-15: The maximum amount of vegetation should be maintained in its natural state and be disturbed only as minimally necessary for the development. Disturbed areas should be re-vegetated with native vegetation as soon as possible. Re-vegetation will be maintained in good growing conditions, as well as being kept free of noxious weeds.

POLICY CA 16: If a development proposal is located in or near a habitat conservation area shown on the reference maps, a consultation and subsequent mitigation measures, if needed, should be encouraged from the WDFW or other appropriate agency.

POLICY CA-19: Proper riparian management that maintains existing riparian habitat and is consistent with best agricultural management practices should be encouraged.

POLICY CA-20: Ensure that land uses adjacent to naturally occurring wetlands and other fish and wildlife habitat areas will not negatively impact the habitat areas. If a change in land use occurs, adequate buffers will be provided to the habitat areas.

POLICY CA-21: Activities allowed in fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas and open space will be consistent with the species located there, including all applicable state and federal regulations and/or best management practices for the activity regarding that species.

**IV. SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM**

The Douglas County Shoreline Master Program classifies this reach of the Columbia River shoreline as Rural Conservancy. The purpose of the rural conservancy environment is to protect ecological functions, conserve existing natural resources and valuable historic and cultural areas in order to provide for sustained resource use, achieve natural flood plain processes, and provide recreational opportunities.

A listing of the applicable policies and regulations are found in the analysis section of this staff report.

WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (WAC)

WAC 173-27 provides updated rules for administering the Shoreline Management Act (RCW 90.58) and the local master program. WAC 173-27-150 establishes minimum review criteria for substantial development permits. The criteria states that a substantial development permit shall be granted only when the proposed development is consistent with:

- The policies and procedures of the Act;
- The provisions of this regulations; and
- The applicable master program adopted or approved for the area.

**V. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

Douglas County issued a Determination of Non-significance on September 22, 2014 in accordance with WAC 197-11-355 (Optional DNS).

**VI. AGENCY AND PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

Applicable agencies have been given the opportunity to review this proposal. The following agencies have been sent copies of the proposal and have commented as indicated below:

Agency Notified	Response Received	Agency Notified	Response Received
WA Department of Ecology	9/16/2014	WA Dept of Fish & Wildlife	N/R
Chelan County PUD	9/18/2014	Army Corps of Engineers	N/R
WA DNR	N/R		

\* N/R = No Reply

Agency comments have been included as Attachment A.

No public comments were received at the writing of this staff report.

## VII. PROJECT ANALYSIS

Upon review of the application materials, site plans, public and agency comments, the Douglas County Countywide Comprehensive Plan, and applicable codes and requirements, planning staff offers the following analysis and recommendations for the subject application:

### Comprehensive Plan consistency:

Residential development and recreational opportunities in shoreline areas of the Rural Resource 5 acres land use designation can be considered when potential adverse impacts to water quality, slope stability, vegetation, wildlife and aquatic life have been sufficiently addressed. Critical area policies place preference on the protection of and preservation of wetlands over the alteration and mitigation of impacts to wetlands. The proposal is consistent with the goals and policies of the Douglas County Countywide Comprehensive Plan.

### Consistency with the Douglas County Shoreline Master Program

Watercraft lifts are a permitted use in the Rural Conservancy shoreline designation.

### 4.1 ECOLOGICAL PROTECTION AND CRITICAL AREAS

POLICY 1: Shoreline use and development should occur in a manner that assures no net loss of existing ecological functions and processes and protects critical areas. Uses should be designed and conducted to avoid, minimize, or to fully mitigate in so far as practical, any damage to the ecology and environment.

*The project cannot avoid damage to the aquatic environment. However, the project has been designed to minimize damage and to fully mitigate pursuant to adopted mitigation ratios.*

REGULATION 1: Mitigation sequencing – applicants shall demonstrate all reasonable efforts have been taken to mitigate potential adverse impacts in the following prioritized order:

- a. Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;

*The project is located in the aquatic environment and therefore cannot avoid impacts.*

- b. Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, by using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps, such as project redesign, relocation, or timing, to avoid or reduce impacts;

*The project is designed to minimize impacts by utilizing current state and federal boatlift design.*

- c. Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment to the conditions existing at the time of the initiation of the project;

*The project includes new structures to be placed in the aquatic environment; therefore rectifying the impact is not possible.*

- d. Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action;

*The project includes new structures to be placed in the aquatic environment, therefore reducing or eliminating the impact over time via this permit is not possible. The possibility does exist that a future boatlift design would reduce impacts however implementation of that new design would require a future permit and analysis.*

- e. Compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources or environments; and

*The project is proposing mitigation per the adopted mitigation ratios that will compensate for the impact. Suggested conditions of approval require installation of mitigation per the approved plan.*

- f. Monitoring the impact and the compensation projects and taking appropriate corrective measures.

*Suggested conditions of approval require that the mitigation measures be monitored for 5 years after installation to determine survivability and corrective measures be taken if survivability is not achieved.*

#### 4.2 WATER QUALITY

**REGULATION 5:** All building materials that may come in contact with water shall be constructed of untreated wood, cured concrete or steel. Materials used for decking or other structural components shall be approved by applicable state agencies for contact with water to avoid discharge of pollutants. Wood treated with creosote, arsenate compounds, copper chromium arsenic or pentachlorophenol is prohibited in shoreline water bodies.

*The boatlifts and structural component materials shall be of a type approved by state agencies to avoid discharge of pollutants.*

#### 4.3 VEGETATION CONSERVATION

**REGULATION 2:** Where impacts to buffers are permitted under Section 4.1, Ecological Protection and Critical Areas, new developments shall be required to develop and implement a management and mitigation plan. When required, management and mitigation plans shall be prepared by a qualified biologist and shall be consistent with the requirements of Appendix H. Management and mitigation plans shall describe actions that will ensure no net loss of ecological functions. Vegetation shall be maintained over the life of the use and/or development by means of a conservation easement or similar legal instrument recorded with the County Auditor.

*A fish and wildlife management and mitigation plan prepared by Grette Associates was submitted in the application materials.*

**REGULATION 4:** Native vegetation clearing shall be limited to the minimum necessary to accommodate approved shoreline development.

*No native vegetation is being proposed to be cleared.*

#### 4.7 Restoration

**POLICY 2:** Mitigation associated with shoreline development projects shall be designed to achieve no net loss of ecological function.

*A fish and wildlife management and mitigation plan prepared by Grette Associates was submitted in the application materials. The plan identifies that the project will result in no net loss of ecological function.*

#### 5.10 Moorage: docks, piers, watercraft lifts, mooring buoys, floats

**POLICY 4:** Moorage should be spaced and oriented in a manner that minimizes hazards and obstructions to navigation and other water-oriented activities such as fishing, swimming and pleasure boating, as well as property rights of adjacent land owners.

*The boatlifts are proposed to be located on an existing, permitted joint-use dock. The dock and access location were evaluated during the permitting process for the dock, Douglas County application SP#13-05. The location was determined to be an easily accessible location for access by both lots. The addition of the boatlifts to the dock will not pose a hazard to navigation.*

**POLICY 5:** Moorage should be restricted to the minimum size necessary to meet the needs of the proposed water-dependent use. The length, width and height of piers and docks should be no greater than necessary for safety and functional use.

*The project proposes a watercraft lift structure that is the minimum size necessary.*

**REGULATION 1:** Shared moorage to serve new residential development shall be limited to the amount of moorage needed to serve lots within the development.

*The two boatlifts will be located on an existing, permitted joint-use dock that serves two lots.*

**REGULATION 6:** New and substantially expanded piers and docks shall be constructed of materials that are approved by applicable federal and state agencies for use in water to avoid adverse effects on water quality or aquatic plants and animals in the long-term for both submerged portions of the dock and decking and other components. Wood treated with creosote, pentachlorophenol or other similarly toxic materials is prohibited.

*The construction materials proposed will be approved by the applicable federal and state agencies for use in water.*

REGULATION 7: Moorage facilities shall be the minimum size necessary to meet the needs of the proposed water-dependent use and shall observe the following criteria:

- a. If allowed, only one private dock with one accessory float, and two watercraft lifts (the combination of one boat and one Jet Ski or other watercraft together) shall be permitted on a shoreline lot owned for residential or private recreational use.

*The two boatlifts will be located on an existing, permitted joint-use dock that serves two lots.*

- b. Docks with or without a float shall be the minimum required to provide for moorage. Commercial docks shall be the minimum length necessary to serve the type of vessel served. Exceptions to these length standards are addressed below.

*No expansion of the existing dock is proposed.*

- c. Docks on the Columbia River that exceed 100 feet in length or docks which exceed 50 feet in length on a lake or sites with unique characteristics that may create navigational safety hazards shall prepare a navigational safety study.

*The proposed boatlifts will only be 70 feet out from OHWM.*

- d. Moorage shall be designed to avoid the need for maintenance dredging. The moorage of a boat larger than provided for in the original moorage design shall not be grounds for approval of dredging.

*The location does not indicate the need for maintenance dredging.*

REGULATION 10: Private docks shall not encroach into the required side yard setbacks for residential development (Both onshore and offshore); provided that, a shared moorage may be located adjacent to or upon a side property line of the affected properties upon filing of an easement agreement or other legal instrument by the affected property owners.

*The two boatlifts will be located on an existing, permitted joint-use dock that serves two lots. The dock is shared moorage and an easement already exists granting Lots 1&2 and 3 of the Riesling Plat Alteration access to the joint-use dock.*

REGULATION 15: Moorage facilities shall be marked with reflectors, or shall be otherwise identified to prevent unnecessarily hazardous conditions for water surface users during day or night. Exterior finish shall be generally non-reflective.

*Suggested conditions of approval require that the boatlifts be marked with reflectors or otherwise identified.*

REGULATION 16: Moorage facilities shall be constructed and maintained so that no part of a facility creates hazardous conditions nor damages other shore property or natural features during predictable flood conditions. Floats shall be securely anchored.

*The boatlifts are designed and secured so that it will not damage shoreline property or natural features.*

**REGULATION 21:** All moorage facilities must permanently mark all of the components with name, address, telephone number and date of installation.

*Suggested conditions of approval require that the boatlifts be permanently marked for identification.*

**REGULATION 23:** Moorage facilities shall avoid locations that will adversely impact shoreline ecological functions or processes.

*The boatlifts are proposed to be located in the most appropriate shoreline location on the subject property.*

**Appendix H, Chapter 3: Critical Areas – Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas**  
The Fish & Wildlife Habitat Management and Mitigation Plan uses the 150 ft. default riparian buffer as designated for the Rural Conservancy shoreline environment designation.

The installation of a dock will impact the aquatic. No impact to the riparian environment is proposed. A fish and wildlife habitat management and mitigation plan has determined that approximately 250 sq. ft. of impact from the boatlifts to the aquatic environment. The plan proposes 1,360 sq. ft. of riparian vegetation plantings to meet the mitigation for disturbance of the boatlifts within the aquatic environment. The difference in proposed mitigation versus required by the SMP is that USACE is requiring a 5.44:1 ratio for the boatlifts for disturbance to the aquatic environment. A planting plan is proposed on sheet 6 of 6 of the diagrams in the Fish & Wildlife Habitat Management and Mitigation Plan Sheets.

As conditioned, the proposal is consistent with this section.

**Consistency with WAC 173-27 and RCW 90.58:**

As conditioned below, the project appears consistent with the requirements and criteria of the Shoreline Management and Enforcement Procedures, and the Shoreline Management Act

**VIII. RECOMMENDATION**

As conditioned below, this application does not appear to be detrimental to the general public health, safety or welfare and meets the basic intent and criteria associated with Title 18 and 19 of the Douglas County Code, the Douglas County Countywide Comprehensive Plan, and the Douglas County Shoreline Master Program. Staff recommends approval of SP#14-07, subject to the following findings of fact and conditions:

**Suggested Findings of Fact**

1. The applicants are Chris Miller, PO Box 2132, Snohomish, WA 98291; and, Steve Smith, 22849 172<sup>nd</sup> Avenue SE, Kent, WA 98042



2. General Description: An application for a shoreline substantial development permit for two (2) ground-based boatlifts located at an existing, permitted joint-use dock.
3. The boatlifts will serve 276 & 280 Vineyard Drive, Orondo. The properties are described as being Lot 1-2 & 3 of the Riesling Plat Alteration. The properties are further described as located in the Northwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 26N, Range 22 EWM, Douglas County, Washington.
4. The Douglas County Assessor's numbers are 82600000100, 82600000300, and 79100000600.
5. The Comprehensive Plan Designation is Rural Resource 5 Acres.
6. The subject property is located in the RR-5 zoning district.
7. The Columbia River Shoreline section of the subject property is designated as "Rural Conservancy" by the Douglas County Shoreline Master Program.
8. WAC 173-27-150 establishes minimum review criteria for Shoreline Management Substantial Development Permits. This criteria states that a substantial development permit shall be granted only when the development proposed is consistent with the policies and procedures of the Act; the provisions of this regulation; and the applicable master program adopted or approved for the area.
9. A fish and wildlife habitat management and mitigation plan dated April 2014 was performed for the project by Grette Associates.
10. The fish and wildlife habitat management and mitigation plan utilizes the default 150 ft. riparian buffer for the Rural Conservancy shoreline designation.
11. The installation of the boatlifts will impact the aquatic. A fish and wildlife habitat management and mitigation plan has determined that a total of 250 square feet of aquatic habitat will be disturbed by the boatlifts. The application proposes 1360 square feet of mitigation. A planting plan is proposed on sheet 6 of 6 of the diagrams in the Fish & Wildlife Habitat Management and Mitigation Plan.
12. The mitigation proposed in the Fish and Wildlife Management and Mitigation Plan meets the requirements of the Douglas County Regional Shoreline Master Program.
13. Comments from reviewing agencies have been considered and addressed where appropriate.
14. Douglas County issued a Determination of Non-significance on September 22, 2014 in accordance with WAC 197-11-355 (Optional DNS).
15. Surrounding property owners were given the opportunity to comment on the proposals, can request a copy of the decision, and can appeal the decision subject to the requirements outlined in DCC Title 14.
16. Proper legal requirements were met and surrounding property owners were given the opportunity to comment on the proposal at a public hearing.
17. WAC 173-27-090 requires that construction must be commenced within 2 years of the effective date of the shoreline permit and that authorization for construction shall terminate 5 years after the effective date of the shoreline permit.
18. As conditioned, the development will not adversely affect the general public, health, safety and general welfare.

Suggested Conclusions:

1. As conditioned, the development meets the goals, policies and implementation recommendations as set forth in the Douglas County Countywide Comprehensive Plan and the Douglas County Shoreline Master Program.
2. As conditioned, this proposal is consistent with applicable federal and state laws and regulations.

3. As proposed, revised, and conditioned, potential impacts of the project can be mitigated.
4. Public interests will be served by approval of this proposal.
5. As conditioned, the proposal is consistent with Title 18 "Zoning" and Title 19 "Environment" of the Douglas County Code.

#### Suggested Conditions of Approval

1. The project shall proceed in substantial conformance with the plans and application materials of file submitted on August 19, 2014 except as amended by the conditions herein.
2. The applicant shall comply with all applicable local, state and federal regulations.
3. A copy of this permit and attached conditions shall be kept on-site and provided to the contractor and all others working within the shoreline area at all times. The applicant, contractor, machinery operators and all others working within the shoreline area shall have read this permit and attached conditions and shall follow its conditions at all times.
4. The boatlifts shall be marked with reflectors, or shall be otherwise identified to prevent unnecessarily hazardous conditions for water surface users during day or night.
5. The project application shall proceed consistent with the Fish & Wildlife Habitat Management and Mitigation Plan prepared by Grette Associates, dated April, 2014.
6. Where necessary, a permanent means of irrigation shall be installed for the mitigation plantings that are designed by a landscape architect or equivalent professional. Said design shall meet the specific needs of riparian and shrub steppe vegetation.
7. Mitigation planting as shown on the mitigation planting plan sheet 6 of 6, dated 5/19/2014 shall be planted upon completion of the boatlifts.
8. A performance surety agreement in conformance with Title 14, D.C.C. shall be entered into between the property owner and Douglas County Transportation and Land Services prior to issuance of the building permit. Douglas County must approve quotes for the cost of installation, delivery, plant material, soil amendments, irrigation, seed mix and necessary monitoring visits and reports by the biologist of record and Washington State sales tax.
9. The mitigation site shall be maintained to ensure the management and mitigation plan objectives are successful. Maintenance shall ensure 80% survival during the 5 year monitoring period and shall include corrective actions to rectify problems, include rigorous, as-needed elimination of undesirable plants; protection of shrubs and small trees from competition by grasses and herbaceous plants, and repair and replacement of any dead plants.
10. A five year monitoring period shall commence upon placement of the planting materials and irrigation system. A performance surety agreement in conformance with Title 14 of Douglas County Code shall be entered into between the property owner and Douglas County Transportation and Land Services. Douglas County must approve quotes for the cost of installation, delivery, plant material, soil amendments, permanent irrigation, seed mix, and 3 monitoring visits and reports by a qualified biologist, and the quotes shall include Washington State sales tax.
11. Onsite monitoring and monitoring reports shall be submitted to Douglas County Transportation and Land Services 1 year after mitigation installation; 3 years after mitigation installation; and 5 years after mitigation installation. Monitoring reports

shall be submitted by a qualified biologist, as defined by Douglas County Code. The biologist must verify that the conditions of approval and provisions in the fish & wildlife habitat management and mitigation plan submitted by Grette Associates, dated April, 2014 have been satisfied.

12. Sequential release of funds associated with the surety agreement shall be reviewed for conformance with the conditions of approval and the management and mitigation plan. Release of funds may occur in increments of 1/3 for substantial conformance with the plan and conditions of approval. If the standards that are not met are only minimally out of compliance and contingency actions are actively being pursued by the property owner to bring the project into compliance, the County may choose to consider a partial release of the scheduled increment. Non-compliance can result in one or more of the following actions: carry-over of the surety amount to the next review period; use of funds to remedy the nonconformance; scheduling a hearing with the Douglas County Hearing Examiner to review conformance with the conditions of approval and to determine what actions may be appropriate.
13. Where a condition imposed herein may be found inconsistent with the requirements of the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife, HPA Permit, or permitting issued by the United States Army Corps of Engineers, the Douglas County Land Services Director shall have discretion to allow for project redesign consistent with the approvals granted by said agencies; if the redesign can be found consistent with the Douglas County Code, the Shoreline Master Program, and the Shoreline Management Act.
14. Construction of the project for which this permit has been granted must be commenced within two (2) years of the effective date of this permit. Authorization to conduct development activities granted by the permit shall terminate five (5) years from the filing date of the permit.

Respectfully Submitted,



Jack Heide, MRCP  
Associate Planner

Attachments

**Appendix A**  
**Agency Comments**



STATE OF WASHINGTON  
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

15 W Yakima Ave, Ste 200 • Yakima, WA 98902-3452 • (509) 575-2490

September 16, 2014

RECEIVED

SEP 18 2014

Douglas County  
Transportation & Land Services

Jack Heide  
Douglas County Transportation & Land Services  
140 19<sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite A  
East Wenatchee, WA 98802

Re: SP-14-07

Dear Mr. Heide:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment during the optional determination of nonsignificance process for the 2 ground-based boatlifts project, proposed by Chris Miller and Steve Smith. We have reviewed the documents and have the following comments.

#### TOXICS CLEAN-UP

Based upon the historical agricultural use of this land, there is a possibility the soil contains residual concentrations of pesticides. Ecology recommends that the soils be sampled and analyzed for lead and arsenic, and for organochlorine pesticides. If these contaminants are found at concentrations above the Model Toxics Control Act cleanup levels Ecology recommends that potential buyers be notified of their occurrence.

If you have any questions or would like to respond to these Toxics Clean-up comments, please contact **Jeff Newschwander** at (509) 454-7842.

Sincerely,

Gwen Clear  
Environmental Review Coordinator  
Central Regional Office  
(509) 575-2012



## Jack Heide (x6544)

---

**From:** Graves, Lisa <lisa.graves@chelanpud.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 18, 2014 2:12 PM  
**To:** Jack Heide (x6544)  
**Cc:** Gerber, Jackie  
**Subject:** Comment on Miller & Smith boatlifts - SP-14-07

Jack,

The District does not have any concerns with this project since installation of the proposed boatlifts will have minimal impact to the District's Rocky Reach hydroelectric project.

Should this project receive approvals from all agencies, the District requests that Douglas County condition the final approval and permit on the applicant receiving District licenses for the boatlifts.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this proposal.

*Lisa*

**Lisa Graves**

Real Estate Specialist

PUD No. 1 of Chelan County | Wenatchee, Washington

(509) 661-4196 | [lisa.graves@chelanpud.org](mailto:lisa.graves@chelanpud.org)